

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/520,749	Applicant(s) GUMPOLTSBERGER, GERHARD	
	Examiner Edwin A. Young	Art Unit 3681	

All Participants:

(1) Edwin A. Young.

(2) Michael Bujold.

Date of Interview: 11 December 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

 Claims discussed:
 26, 29 and 39

 Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:50 am

Edwin Young
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment regarding claims 26, 29 and 39. Claim 26, lines 1-2 "transmission of one of the claim 23" was agreed to be changed to - -transmission of claim 23- -. Claim 29, line 3 "the the input shaft" was agreed to be changed to - -the input shaft- -. Claim 39, line 2 "is located one at least one" was agreed to be changed to - -is located on at least one- -.